

# Homework 1

Mostafa Touny

May 26, 2025

## Contents

<b>Exercises</b>	<b>2</b>
1 . . . . .	2
3 . . . . .	2
4 . . . . .	2
6 . . . . .	3
7 . . . . .	3
8 . . . . .	3

# Exercises

Sections 12 & 13, pages 83-84.

## 1

For every  $x \in A$ , we know there exists an open  $U_x$  such that  $x \in U_x \subset A$ . Observe  $A = \cup_{x \in A} U_x$  is expressed as unions of open sets. By *axiom 2*,  $A$  is open. ■

## 3

**Lemma.**  $\mathcal{T}_c$  is a topology.

As  $X - \phi = X$  and  $X - X = \phi$ , we get  $\phi, X \in \mathcal{T}$ .

For a collection of open sets  $U = \bigcup_{x \in I} U_x$ :

- Case.  $U_x = \phi$  for all  $x \in I$ . Then clearly  $U = \phi$  is open.
- Case.  $U_{x_0} \neq \phi$  for some  $x_0 \in I$ . Then  $X - U = X - \bigcup_{x \in I} U_x = \bigcap_{x \in I} (X - U_x) \subset X - U_{x_0}$ .

It follows  $X - U$  is countable as it is a subset of a countable set.

For open  $U_0$  and  $U_1$ , if either is empty then  $U_0 \cap U_1 = \phi$  is open. If both  $X - U_0$  and  $X - U_1$  are countable then so is  $(X - U_0) \cup (X - U_1) = X - (U_0 \cap U_1)$ .

**Lemma.**  $\mathcal{T}_\infty$  is not a topology in general.

We show that by a counter-example. Let  $X = \mathcal{R}$ ,  $U_0 = \mathcal{R} - \{0, 2, 4, \dots\}$ , and  $U_1 = \mathcal{R} - \{0, 1, 3, \dots\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{R} - U_0$  and  $\mathcal{R} - U_1$  are both infinite but  $\mathcal{R} - (U_0 \cap U_1) = \mathcal{R} - (\mathcal{R} - \{0\}) = \{0\}$  is non-empty finite, and hence not open.

## 4

a.

$\bigcap \mathcal{T}_\alpha$  is a topology by lifting every property common along all  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  to  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ .

$\bigcup \mathcal{T}_\alpha$  is not a topology in general. Consider  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_a = \{\phi, \{a\}, X\}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_b = \{\phi, \{b\}, X\}$ . Observe  $\mathcal{T}_a \cup \mathcal{T}_b = \{\phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, X\}$  but  $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\} \notin \mathcal{T}_a \cup \mathcal{T}_b$ .

b.

**Lemma.** Unique smallest.

Let  $F$  be the family of all topologies containing  $\{\mathcal{T}_\alpha\}$ .  $F$  is non-empty as the discrete topology  $\mathcal{T}_{\text{disc}}$  is finer than any topology on  $X$ . By (a),  $\bigcap F$  is a topology. By minimality in set theory, it is smallest and unique.

**Lemma.** Unique greatest.

Observe  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  is a topology by (a). It is largest as any open set  $U$  common in all topologies will be in  $\mathcal{T}$ . For any largest such topology  $\mathcal{T}'$ , by definition  $\mathcal{T}' \subset \bigcap_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  and  $|\mathcal{T}'| \geq \mathcal{T}$ , implying  $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T}$ .

c.

Smallest is  $\{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b\}\}$ , and largest is  $\{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$ .

## 6

**Lemma.**  $\mathcal{R}_l$  is not finer than  $\mathcal{R}_k$ .

Consider  $0 \in B = (-1, 1) - K$  for  $\mathcal{T}''$  in  $\mathcal{R}_k$ . In  $\mathcal{R}_l$ , for any  $[y, x)$  where  $y \leq 0 < x$ , there is a small enough  $1/n$ , concluding  $[y, x) \not\subseteq B$ .

**Lemma.**  $\mathcal{R}_k$  is not finer than  $\mathcal{R}_l$ .

Consider  $0 \in [0, 1)$  in  $\mathcal{R}_l$ . For any  $(x', y')$  containing 0, it follows  $x' < 0 < y'$ , and hence  $(x', y') \not\subseteq [0, 1)$ .

## 7

$\mathcal{T}_1$  contains  $\mathcal{T}_4$ .

$\mathcal{T}_2$  contains  $\mathcal{T}_1$ .

$\mathcal{T}_5$  contains  $\mathcal{T}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_2$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_4$ .

## 8

a.

Since  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ , it follows  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ . Take arbitrary open  $(a, b)$  with  $x$  contained in it. By density of rationals, there are  $q, p \in \mathcal{Q}$  such that  $a < q < x < p < b$ . Hence  $x \in (q, p) \subseteq (a, b)$ .

b.

Since a basis generates a unique topology, if  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}'$  then  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{T}'$  have common bases (plural of basis). It suffices to show  $\mathcal{C}$  is not a basis of the *lower limit topology*.

We show the generated topology  $\mathcal{T}$  by  $\mathcal{C}$  is missing an element in  $\mathcal{R}_l$ . Take irrational  $a_0 \in [a_0, b_0) \in \mathcal{R}_l$ . For any basis element  $B = [q, p) \in \mathcal{C}$ , either  $q > a_0$  or  $q < a_0$ . For the former,  $a_0 \notin B$ . For the latter  $B \not\subseteq [a_0, b_0)$ . Hence  $[a_0, b_0) \notin \mathcal{T}$ .