

Homework 08

Mostafa Touny

May 26, 2025

Contents

Section 26	2
1	2
2 (b)	2
5	2
8	2
Section 27	3
3 (a)	3
Section 28	3
2	3
3	3
4	3

Section 26

1

(a). If X is compact under \mathcal{T}' then it is compact under \mathcal{T} .

Take open covering of \mathcal{T} . Then by hypothesis it is in \mathcal{T}' , and hence there is a finite covering subcollection.

(b). We show $\mathcal{T}' \supset \mathcal{T}$ implies $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}'$.

Take arbitrary $U \in \mathcal{T}'$. Then $X - U$ is closed under \mathcal{T}' . By *theorem 26.2*, $X - U$ is compact under \mathcal{T}' . By (a), $X - U$ is compact under \mathcal{T} . By *theorem 26.3*, $X - U$ is closed under \mathcal{T} , concluding U is open under \mathcal{T} .

2 (b)

Not compact.

Consider open sets $U_k = \mathbb{R} - \{1/i \mid i \geq k\}$. Clearly for any $1/i$, there is a k such that $U_k \ni 1/i$. Hence $\bigcup_k U_k$ covers $[0, 1]$. However, if we took any finite sub-collection, then by considering the maximum index k of them, we know $1/k$ is in $[0, 1]$ but not in the unions of that subcollection. ■

5

By *Lemma 26.4*, for each $y \in B$, we can take disjoint $U_y \ni y$ and $V_y \supset A$. Then $\bigcup_y U_y$ covers B and by its compactness, we take finite sub-collection U_1, \dots, U_m . Set

$$U = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_m$$

$$V = V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_m$$

Observe open $U \supset B$ and open $V \supset A$. Since $U_i \cap V_i = \phi$, it follows $U \cap V = \phi$.

8

(\leftarrow) Take open neighbourhood V of $f(x_0)$. Note if V has no such point, then $f^{-1}(V) = \phi$ open in X . By definition $Y - V$ is closed. It follows $X \times (Y - V)$ is closed in $X \times Y$ and $G_f \cap X \times (Y - V)$ is closed. Applying *exercise 7*, the set $\{x \mid f(x) \in Y - V\}$ is closed, implying the complement $\{x \mid f(x) \in V\} = f^{-1}(V)$ is open.

(\rightarrow) Unsolved.

Section 27

3 (a)

Observe the following are open in $[0, 1]$ as a subspace.

- $((-1, 2) - K) \cap [0, 1] = [0, 1] - K$.
- $\forall a > 0, (a, 2) \cap [0, 1] = (a, 1]$.

Observe these sets constitute an open cover of $[0, 1]$ as a subspace. If we took any finite subcollection, then either we miss 0 or we miss some $1/n$. ■

Section 28

2

Consider the infinite set $A = \{1 - 1/n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. Consider any $x \in [0, 1] - A$.

- Case $x = 1$. Then $[1, 2) \cap [0, 1] = \{1\}$ is open in $[0, 1]$ as a subspace, yet does not intersect A .
- Case $0 < x < 1$. Then $1/n_1 < x < 1/n_0$ for a smallest n_1 and a biggest n_0 . Take $[x, b)$ where $b < 1/n_0$, which is open in $[0, 1]$ as a subspace, yet does not intersect A .

Thereby, infinite set A does not contain any limit point in $[0, 1]$ as a subspace.

3

(a). Yes. Consider an infinite set A in $f(X)$. for each $f(x) \in f(X)$, choose a single point $f^{-1}(x)$ in X , and construct set A^{-1} . Since f is a function, A^{-1} is infinite in X . Observe f restricted to A^{-1} is injective.

By hypothesis, there is a limit point x in A^{-1} . We claim $f(x)$ is a limit point in A . For any neighbourhood $U \ni f(x)$, and since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(U)$ is open in X . Hence some $x' \in A^{-1} \cap f^{-1}(U)$ where $x' \neq x$. It follows $f(x') \in A \cap U$ where $f(x') \neq f(x)$ by injectivity. ■

(b). Yes. Consider an infinite set $B \subseteq A$. By hypothesis, there is a limit point x in B with respect to X as a space. We claim x is a limit point in B with respect to A as a subspace. For open $U \ni x$ in A , we know $U = U' \cap A$ for open U' in X . It follows some $x' \in U' \cap A$ where $x' \neq x$, implying $x' \in U$. ■

4

Partially Solved.

(\leftarrow) We prove if X is not countably compact, then it is not limit point compact.

By hypothesis, there is a countable cover $\{U_n\}$, which has no finite sub-cover. Take $x_n \notin U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ for each n . If those $\{x_n\}$ are finite then we can take some x_m such that $x_m \notin \bigcup_n U_n$, concluding $\{x_n\}$ is infinite.

If $\{x_n\}$ has a limit point y , then call open $U_k \ni y$ where $U_k \in \{U_n\}$. Since X is T_1 it follows U_k contains infinitely many points of $\{x_n\}$. But by definition $\forall n \geq k, x_n \notin U_k$, implying U_k contains finitely many points of $\{x_n\}$.