

Homework 02

Mostafa Touny

March 16, 2025

Contents

Exercises	2
1	2
2	2
3	3
(i)	3
(ii)	3
(iii)	4
3	4
(i)	4
(ii)	4
(iii)	4
(iv)	4

Exercises

1

Let D be the points of discontinuity. For $\varepsilon > 0$ set $\eta = \min(\varepsilon, \varepsilon/2(b-a))$. Observe D_η is negligible as D is negligible. Take M, m to be an upper and lower bound of f respectively.

By negligibility D_η is coverable by a family $(J_m)_{m \in N^*}$ of open intervals such that $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \ell(J_m) < \varepsilon/2(M-m)$. Since it is closed and bounded, by *Hein-Borel* we take a finite cover J_1, \dots, J_N where $J_m =]a_m, b_m[$ and $b_{m-1} \leq a_m$. Observe $D_\eta \subseteq \bigcup_{m=1}^N J_m$.

It follows if $x \notin \bigcup_{m=1}^N J_m$ then $w(f; x) < \eta$. Then there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that $w_\delta(f; x) < \eta$. Call the corresponding open set S_x . Then $|\sup S_x - \inf S_x| < \eta$. Observe $(\bigcup_{m=1}^N J_m)^c \subseteq \bigcup_x S_x$. By *Hein-Borel* we can take finite $\{S_x\}$. Among their corresponding $\{\delta_x\}$, take the smallest and call it δ .

Subdivide $[b_{m-1}, a_m]$ into finite intervals $I_1^{(m)}, \dots, I_{r_m}^{(m)}$, each of length less than δ . Take z_ε to be a subdivision of $[a, b]$ containing all I_k^m for $m = 1, \dots, N$ and $k = 1, \dots, r_m$.

Now for any $S_k = [y_{k-1}, y_k]$, either

- **Case 1.** $S_k \subseteq J_m$. Then $M_k - m_k \leq M - m$. Denote those intervals by B .
- **Case 2.** $S_k \subseteq I_r^{(m)}$. Then $M_k - m_k \leq \eta$. Denote those intervals by G .

We show $U(f; w) - L(f; w) < \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(f; w) - L(f; w) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k - m_k)(y_k - y_{k-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{k \in G} (M_k - m_k)(y_k - y_{k-1}) + \sum_{k \in B} (M_k - m_k)(y_k - y_{k-1}) \\
 &\leq \eta \sum_{k \in G} (y_k - y_{k-1}) + (M - m) \sum_{k \in B} (y_k - y_{k-1}) \\
 &\leq \eta(b-a) + (M - m) \frac{\varepsilon}{2(M - m)} \\
 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(b-a)}(b-a) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

2

Lemma. $\int_{-a}^b f(x)dx \leq c_i(S(f))$

For any $L(f; z)$, the corresponding rectangles could be re-interpreted as a finite union

of disjoint rectangles, reserving exactly the same area. Thereby

$$\begin{aligned} \{L(f; z) \mid \text{subdivision } z\} &\subseteq \{area(B) \mid B \subseteq A, B \text{ is a simple set}\} \\ \sup\{L(f; z) \mid \text{subdivision } z\} &\leq \sup\{area(B) \mid B \subseteq A, B \text{ is a simple set}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{-a}^b f(x)dx \leq c_i(S(f))$$

Lemma. $c_i(S(f)) \leq \int_{-a}^b f(x)dx$

Since f is bounded on a closed interval $[a, b]$, $area(S(f)) = \int_{-a}^b f(x)dx$. But for any simple set $B \subseteq S(f)$, we know $area(B) \leq area(S(f))$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{area(B) \mid \text{simple set } B\} &\leq \int_{-a}^b f(x)dx \\ c_i(S(f)) &\leq \end{aligned}$$

3

(i)

Consider a function f induced by a line segment. Then it is bounded on a closed interval, and hence Riemann integrable. Observe $U(f; z) - L(f; z)$ induces rectangles which do cover the line. Since $|U(f; z) - L(f; z)| \rightarrow 0$, it follows there are simple sets of disjoint rectangles S_1, S_2, \dots such that $area(S_i) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, the outer Jordan content is $c_o(PQ) = 0$. Since $c_i(PQ) \leq c_o(PQ)$ it follows also $c_i(PQ) = 0$.

(ii)

Observe $area(R_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2^n} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \cdot n2^{n+1} = n$. Since $\{R_n\}$ is a pairwise disjoint set of rectangles,

$$\begin{aligned} area(R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \dots \cup R_n) &= area(R_1) + \dots + area(R_n) \\ area\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N R_n\right) &= 1 + 2 + \dots + N = \frac{N(N+1)}{2} \\ c_i\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N R_n\right) &= c_o\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N R_n\right) = \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $c_i(U_{i=1}^\infty R_n) = c_o(U_{i=1}^\infty R_n) = \infty$.

(iii)

3

(i)

Holds. For any A , and p_n , we have $t_n \not\leq 0$. Hence $\sum_{p_n \in A} t_n \not\leq 0$.

(ii)

Holds. if $A \subset B$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p_n \in B} t_n &= \sum_{p_n \in A} t_n + \sum_{p_n \in B-A} t_n && \text{since } A \cap (B-A) = \phi \\ &= v(A) + v(B-A) \\ &\geq v(A) && \text{since } v(B-A) \geq 0 \text{ by (i)} \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

Holds.

$$\begin{aligned} v(A \cup B) &= \sum_{p_n \in A \cup B} t_n \\ &= \sum_{p_n \in A} t_n + \sum_{p_n \in B} t_n && \text{since } A \cap B = \phi \\ &= v(A) + v(B) \end{aligned}$$

(iv)