

# Lab 05

I. El-Shaarawy, & M. Touny

November 5, 2023

## Contents

<b>Exercises</b>	<b>2</b>
5.1.3 . . . . .	2
5.1.10 . . . . .	3
5.2.8 . . . . .	3
5.2.9 . . . . .	3
5.3.1 . . . . .	3
5.3.2 . . . . .	4
5.4.3 . . . . .	4
5.4.8 . . . . .	4
5.5.1 . . . . .	5
5.5.9 . . . . .	5

# Exercises

## 5.1.3

*Hints*

- you can use floors and ceils as subroutines.

*Solution*

(a)

```
def divConqPower(a,n)
  if n = 1
    return a
  return divConqPower(a, floor(n/2)) * divConqPower(a, ceil(n/2))
```

(b)

Time of basic operations  $T(n)$  are  $T(n) = T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + 1$ . Assuming  $n = 2^k$ , We get  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + 1$ . By master theorem,  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ .

For general cases of  $n$ , Observe  $n = 2^{\log n} \leq 2^{\lceil \log n \rceil}$ , So by master theorem  $T(n) \leq \mathcal{O}(2^{\lceil \log n \rceil}) \leq \mathcal{O}(2^{\log n + 1}) = \mathcal{O}(n)$ . Similarly  $T(n) \geq \Omega(2^{\lfloor \log n \rfloor}) = \Omega(n)$ . That concludes  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$  for any  $n$ .

Complexity proof by substitution. Recurrence is

$$\begin{aligned} T(1) &= 0 \\ T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + 1 \\ &= 2^2T(n/2^2) + 2^1 + 2^0 \\ &= 2^3T(n/2^3) + 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0 \\ &= 2^kT(n/2^k) + 2^{k-1} + \dots + 2^0 \\ &= 2^{\log n}T(n/2^{\log n}) + 2^{(\log n)-1} + \dots + 2^0 \\ &= 2^{\log n}T(1) + 2^{(\log n)-1} + \dots + 2^0 \\ &= 2^{(\log n)-1} + \dots + 2^0 \\ &= 2^{\log n} - 1 = n - 1 = \Theta(n) \end{aligned}$$

P.S. Generally speaking we can ignore floors and ceilings in asymptotic notation (see page 885 in MIT's Math for CS).

(c). **Homework**

### 5.1.10

#### Homework

### 5.2.8

**Homework** Consider pivot to be zero.

### 5.2.9

#### Homework

### 5.3.1

#### *Hints*

- Recall in a binary tree, a node has at most two leafs. Apply the strategy on them
- Given the height of subtrees, What can you conclude about height of the main tree?
- What is subtrees have different heights?

#### *Solution*

Same as solution manual:

```
1. Algorithm Levels(T)  
  //Computes recursively the number of levels in a binary tree  
  //Input: Binary tree T  
  //Output: Number of levels in T  
  if T =  $\emptyset$  return 0  
  else return  $\max\{Levels(T_L), Levels(T_R)\} + 1$ 
```

Analysis. We know each node is going to count 1 operation. If we assumed total number of nodes to be  $n$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ . If we assumed like the book the total number of internal nodes to be  $n$  and leafs to be  $x$ , then  $x + n = 2n$ , so  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ .

P.S. The book considers checking whether tree is empty to be the basic operation. My intuition tells me it is the max operation.

### 5.3.2

#### *Hints*

- Consider the problem size to be level of a node, not number of nodes.

- Consider the base case as the tree being a single node (leaf).
- Assume you can query the tree's root, and its children.
- Recall a binary tree has at most two children for each node. Given counts of both, what can we conclude?

*Solution*

Commentary on the given algorithm in the question. It is flawed. Given the solution of an empty tree, we reach a flawed claim about the tree of size 1 node. Remarkably we shouldn't consider problem size to be the number of nodes, but rather the height.

Correct algorithms:

```
# input: non-empty tree T with access to its root
# output: count of leafs
def leafCounter (Tree T)
    # base case: the tree is a leaf node
    if T.root.children == []
        return 1

    # recursive step
    return leafCounter(T_left) + leafCounter(T_right)
```

Analysis. We count one summation operation for each non-leaf node. Following the notation given in the book,  $n$  as number of non-leaf nodes and  $x$  as leafs, We get  $T(n) = n$ .

Alternatively we can consider  $n$  to be total number of nodes. But we know  $n = 2i + 1$  where  $i$  is number of non-leaf nodes. So  $T(n) \approx n/2 = \Theta(n)$ .

### 5.4.3

**Homework** Proving exponent rules is not germane to the course.

### 5.4.8

**Homework** Uses geometric series.

### 5.5.1

*Hints*

- Among pairs of right and left subsets, What are the least-distance ones?
- Use the given sorted property to deduce the least-distance pair.

*Solution*

Like the solution manual.

```
Algorithm ClosestNumbers( $P[l..r]$ )
//A divide-and-conquer alg. for the one-dimensional closest-pair problem
//Input: A subarray  $P[l..r]$  ( $l \leq r$ ) of a given array  $P[0..n - 1]$ 
//      of real numbers sorted in nondecreasing order
//Output: The distance between the closest pair of numbers
if  $r = l$  return  $\infty$ 
else if  $r - l = 1$  return  $P[r] - P[l]$ 
else return  $\min\{ClosestNumbers(P[l..\lfloor(l+r)/2\rfloor]),$ 
                $ClosestNumbers(P[\lfloor(l+r)/2\rfloor + 1..r]),$ 
                $P[\lfloor(l+r)/2\rfloor + 1] - P[\lfloor(l+r)/2\rfloor]\}$ 
```

For  $n = 2^k$ , the recurrence for the running time  $T(n)$  of this algorithm is

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + c.$$

Its solution, according to the Master Theorem, is in  $\Theta(n^{\log_2 2}) = \Theta(n)$ . If

### 5.5.9

Homework