# 70

## Chapter 06

Mostafa Touny

October 3, 2023

## Contents

ro	hla	m	10																																							
. 01		,11	IS																																							
1	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•											
2							•						٠	•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•
4																					•			٠						•		٠			•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠
8																		•		•	•		٠							•		٠	•		•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	
1	1																											•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	-	•	٠	
1	2																								•				•	•	•	•			•	٠		•	•	•	•	٠
1	4																		٠.								•		•					•	•		•	•	•	•	•	٠
2	21																		•							•			•	•		•	•		٠	•			•	•		•
2	22																									•	٠			•				•			•		•	•	•	•
5	24																																							•	•	•
-			•	-																																						

#### Problems

4:2→2Z

 $\phi(n)=2n$ . If 2a=2b then a=b. For each 2k we have  $\phi(k)=2k$ . Observe  $\phi(ab) = 2(a+b) = 2a + 2b = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ , Following by usual properties of integers.

2

We Follow the same proof approach of Example 15 (page 130). Let  $\phi \in Aut(Z)$  be

We Follow the same parabolic arbitrary. Then by the usual properties  $\cdots + 1) = \phi(1) + \cdots + \phi(1) = k \cdot \phi(1)$ . But by denimination  $\phi(k) = kc$ . In other words,  $Aut(Z) = \{\phi \mid \exists c, \forall k \phi(k) = \kappa c\}$ . Completely wrong! But the grade was for Z and Z arbitrary Z arbitr

	1	3	5	7
1	1	3	5	7
3	3	1	7	5
5	5	7	1	3
7	7	5	3	1

Caylay table of U(10):

	1	3	7	9
1	1	3	7	9
3	3	9	1	7
7	7	1	9	3
9	9	7	3	1

Recall from theorem 6.2 (page 126), Any  $\phi$  maps the identity to the identity of the other group.

In U(8) we have  $3 \cdot 3 = 1$ . Then  $\phi(3 \cdot 3) = \phi(3) \cdot \phi(3) = \phi(1) = 1$ . The only non-identity element in U(10) satisfying that is 9. Hence  $\phi(3) = 9$ .

Similarly  $5 \cdot 5 = 1$ . Then we must have some  $a \in U(10)$  such that  $a \cdot a = 1$  where  $a \notin \{1, 9\}$ . Contradiction.

8

Injective. Given  $\log_{10} a = \log_{10} b$ , we get  $10^{\log_{10} a} = 10^{\log_{10} b}$ , and a = b.

Surjective. Given  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ , take  $a = 10^x \in \mathcal{R}^+$ . Then  $\log_{10} a = \log_{10} 10^x = x$ .

Group Operation. Observe  $\phi(ab) = \log_{10} ab = \log_{10} a + \log_{10} b = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$ 

2

### 11

Observe  $\phi(a^3b^{-2}) = \phi(a^3) + \phi(b^{-2}) = [\phi(a)]^3 + [\phi(b)]^{-2} = (\overline{a})^3 + (\overline{b})^{-2}$ . We used theorem

12

 $(\rightarrow)$ . For any  $a, b \in G$ , We have:

ve: Shouldn't Start with inverses  $\alpha(a^{-1}b^{-1}) = \alpha(a^{-1})\alpha(b^{-1})$  without Justification.  $(a^{-1}b^{-1})^{-1} = *$ ba = ab

 $(\leftarrow)$ . Symmetrically, If we have  $b^{-1}a^{-1}=a^{-1}b^{-1}$ , Then  $\alpha(ab)=\alpha(a)\alpha(b)$ . Bijection is clear by properties of inverses. Should cleaning!

14

By theorem 6.5 (page 131),  $Aut(Z_3) \approx U(3)$  and  $Aut(Z_4) \approx U(4)$ , so  $Aut(Z_3) \approx$  $Aut(Z_4)$  by the transitivity of isomorphism. But  $Z_3 \not\approx Z_4$  as the two groups have different orders, so no bijection exists.

21

Clearly groups H and K are isomorphic to  $S_4$ . By transitivity  $H \approx K$ . Construct on isomorphism!



22

For every c=2,3,4..., Consider the subset  $H_c=\{ck\mid k\in\mathcal{Z}\}$ . It is a subgroup, As it has the identity c(0), inverses c(-k), and closed  $ck_1 + ck_2 = c(k_1 + k_2)$ .

It remains to show those subgroups are distinct. For any  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  where  $c_1 < c_2$  we have  $c_1(1) \in H_{c_1}$  but  $c_1(1) \notin H_{c_2}$ . Therefore  $H_{c_1} \neq H_{c_2}$ .

24

We use theorem 3.2 (page 63). If  $\phi(a) = a$  then  $\phi(a^{-1}) = (\phi(a))^{-1} = a^{-1}$ . Also, If we use theorem 5.2 (page 65). 2.  $\phi(a) = a$  and  $\phi(b) = b$  then  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) = ab$ . And  $\phi(b) = 0$  when  $\phi(a)$ ,

Has nonempty be cause any out fixed the

34

Let K be a subgroup of G. We use theorem 3.2 (page 63).

Inverse. For any  $\phi(k) \in \phi(K)$ ,  $(\phi(k))^{-1} = \phi(k^{-1})$ . But  $k^{-1} \in K$ , So  $\phi(k^{-1}) \in \phi(K)$ 

for any ket(K) 3
k has a preshuge ont by Jep. of

Closed. For  $\phi(k_1)$ ,  $\phi(k_2) \in \phi(K)$ , We have  $\phi(k_1)\phi(k_2) = \phi(k_1k_2)$ . But  $k_1k_2 \in K$ , So  $\phi(k_1k_2) \in \phi(K)$ .